Cusad/FUG Meeting
February 25, 2008
9:00 a.m.
Conference Call

Present: Jeff Jacobs, BSC; Sandy Klein, DSU; Katie Nettell, LRSC; Shirley Hanson, MaSU; Laurie Weber, MiSU, Jeanne Enebo and Kristie Holler, NDSU; Shelly Blome, NDSCS; Robin Holden, UND; Betty Kuss-Schumacher, VCSU; Lynn Aaberg, WSC; Sue Applegren and Dennis Junk, HECN
Absent: Diane Christianson, MiSU-Bottineau

Satisfactory Academic Progress

The main topic of the meeting was to discuss Satisfactory Academic Progress in Campus Solution 9.0. We need to move forward on this decision so that programmers will have time to make the needed modification on our current SAP process to work in 9.0, or to look at what modifications are needed to make the Campus Solution 9.0 version work.

Dennis started by asking each institution if they felt that the process in 9.0 would work for the system. Some reported that they would like more information and deferred to the rest of the group. The majority of institutions said they felt we could make the move to Campus Solution 9.0.

Dennis asked each institution what we need to make Satisfactory Academic Progress work in Campus Solution 9.0. There was some concern expressed that we would like to do more testing to better understand the set up, processes, queries and reports available in 9.0. The list of items needed is below:

- We need service indicators that identify students who are on Satisfactory Progress probation and suspension (disqualification)
- We need to spend more time to test
- Review how 9.0 is calculating percentages for attempted vs. completed credits
- We need a report that can be printed out includes names, id’s and Satisfactory Progress review information.
- More time to test and review reports and queries
- Tracking screen, how do we handle exception credits
- How do we stop people from being awarded after being suspended. Do we need to develop a process like in 8.0 where students are put on ready for counselor review?
- Can we recalculate previous terms when completing an appeal and how do we track appeals.
- How do we evaluate collaborative students
- How do we know when someone is off suspension
- Suggested change of terminology to move from the word suspension to disqualification
Sandy reported from the NDU Complexity Issues workgroup. This group is working on a recommendation a common way for student records to record transfer credits. At the last meeting of the Complexity Issues workgroup the recommendation was to record transfer remedial classes, F’s, I’s and repeat courses to the transcript. Courses and terms that the student withdrew while at a previous college were not going to be recorded in the transfer process. How student records record these credits will determine how they are counted in Satisfactory Academic Progress process. It was asked if there is a way for financial aid to exclude transfer credits from the calculation. Dennis indicated that this topic needs more discussion however it would affect SAP in both 8.0 and CS9.0 in the same way.

Sandy Klein moved to use the delivered Satisfactory Progress process in Campus Solution 9.0. Lynn Aaberg seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

**NDU_0127_FA ACG Query –**

Dennis reported that the query, NDU_0127_FA ACG, was not working as it was initially intended. He recommended that we do not carry it forward to Campus Solution 9.0 unless someone is using it. Jeanne Enebo, NDSU, moved to not move the NDU_0127_FA ACG Query to Campus Solution 9.0, Shirley, MaSU seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

The meeting was adjourned

Respectfully submitted

Katie Nettell